	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/673,775	MABUCHI, KEIJI
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	DENNIS HOGUE	2622	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _allowed_

  (1) _DENNIS HOGUE_.   (3) _____.

  (2) _Adam Rehm (Reg # 32,919)_.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _29 April 2010_   **Time:** _2:30 PM_

Type of Interview:
  ☒ Telephonic
  ☐ Video Conference
  ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
_N/A_

Claims discussed:
_6, 13, 20, 25_

Prior art documents discussed:
Chi '109, Chi et al. '596

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason Whipkey/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622

                          (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After getting approval from his supervisor, the examiner faxed proposed amendments to the attorney for the applicant on 4/9/2010 and called the attorney for the applicant on 4/12/2010 to discuss the proposed claim amendments. The reason for the proposed claim amendments was to put the claims in condition for allowance over the Chi and Chi et al. references, as well as the other art of record. On 4/29/2010 the examiner spoke with the attorney who confirmed that the applicant had approved the claim amendments. The amendments are being made as an Examiner's Amendment..